

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL

"CALL IN"
REQUEST

WE THE UNDERSIGNED WISH TO "CALL IN" FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT PANEL THE DECISION(S) OF THE CABINET TAKEN ON THE 10TH DAY OF June 2013 IN RELATION TO THE REPORT/MINUTE NUMBER SHOWN BELOW:

- councillor (Sign) JOHN FERRETT (Print)
- councillor (Sign) AIDEN GRAY (Print)
- councillor (Sign) KEN FERRETT (Print)
- councillor (Sign) DAVID MORNE (Print)
- councillor (Sign) SIM PATLEY (Print)

Dated 18/6/13

<u>AGENDA ITEM/MINUTE NO.</u> <u>1</u>	
<u>REPORT TITLE / MINUTE / DATE OF CABINET MEETING / PORTFOLIO DECISION MEETING / MIS DATE</u>	
<u>REASONS FOR "CALL IN" and WHY</u>	Believe the decision may be based on inaccurate or incorrect information <input type="checkbox"/>
	Believe the decision may have been taken without adequate information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Believe the decision may be contrary to the council's agreed Budget and policy framework <input type="checkbox"/>

Once completed please return to Local Democracy Manager
Telephone: 023 9283 4055 Fax: 023 9284 1322

- Please provide a brief summary of reasons for call in
- Please state what alternative action it is proposed should be taken by decision maker

Summary of reasons for Call In

The signatories to this 'call in' request believe that the decision by the Cabinet on 10 June 2013, in respect of the Pyramids Procurement, may have been taken without adequate information.

We are cognisant of the fact that none of those bidding to run the Pyramids were prepared to sign a contract committing them to the on-going maintenance of the facility. Therefore, the burden of risk, should any maintenance be required over the next five years will rest solely with the council and the taxpayers of Portsmouth. Whilst the paper presented to the Cabinet by officers details the cost of maintenance and repairs in 2010, there is no estimate of the likely cost of repairs over the next five years.

We believe that given the age of the Pyramids building there should be a full evaluation of the likely costs of maintenance in the event that major parts of the infrastructure should break-down, or require replacement. Furthermore, the paper does not quantify the level of compensation payable to those running the Pyramids, in the event that the facility is closed, whilst repairs or maintenance is being undertaken. Without this information being available we believe that the Cabinet are unable to decide how much taxpayers' money is being put at risk by signing a contract that does not cover maintenance costs.